cosmogram-5 November 13, 1983 Soyuz T-9=139 days Copyright @1983, James E. Oberg, all rights reserved. This material may be quoted with proper attribution. END OF CURRENT MISSION IN SIGHT? On 10 November, Moscow World Service (in English) reported that the cosmonauts "have started using a special suit which can imitate conditions on Earth. this way the crew that has been in orbit for over 4 months preparing for its return to Earth." This confirms a report AW&ST. Nov 7 issue, which reports an interview with Aleksey Yeliseyev in Switzerland, to the effect that "the crew...will be leaving the station before the end of November." Further, says "no new crews are expected to be sent to Salyut-7 until early 1984." The lighting conditions for recovery approach optimal (in terms empirically defined by past observations) about Nov 🔭 🖔 That would be day #150 for Soyuz T-9, an extraordinary increase in the previous mission duration -- so the launch of unmanned "rescue Soyuz" cannot be excluded. But then, with a fresh Soyuz, why bring the men home at all? DETAILS ON E.V.A. IN PRAVDA (November 4 issue, page 3). A detailed article by "Constructor V. Vladimirov" is accompanied by a stylized drawing of the assembly operation. It is made clear that the two sets of panels were both installed on the center Salyut array, not on the left and right as earlier assumed by some Western observers. The result increased that panel's power output by 50%. The new arrays appear (in the drawing) to consist of seven panels, each 0.5 meters square. One man is working at the wing itself; the other is standing at the EVA workstation fully out of the hatch. Thus this has been the first (and second) times that two Soviet cosmonauts have actually been fully outside their spacecraft simultaneously on purpose (Romanenko and Grechko were reportedly so in Dec 1977, by accident). I have not seen photographs or videotape of the operation. Of interest is Soviet report that shows two cosmonauts performing this operation in the neutral buoyancy water pool: it identifies them as Kizim and Solovyov, who evidently were the backup crew for either T-9 or T-10A, probably the former. I expect we all have noted that planned EVAs seem to occur only when Progress vehicles are attached -- a Soviet comment was that the airlock repressurization comes from the Progress supplies. The Dec 1977 and the Aug 1979 EVAs were contingencies -- no Progress attached. MORE AW&ST FROM YELISEYEV. That same Nov. 7 article also quotes Yeliseyev saying that the Indian cosmonaut would fly in April (prime crew Malyshev, Rukavishnikov, Sharma; backup crew Berezovoy, Grechko, Malhotra). "Yelseyev also said several Soviet women cosmonaut candidates remain in training, but no new nearterm flights with female crewmembers are anticipated." An quotation from Yeliseyev: "For the time being, we don't have any new flights planned for them." And as for a second French spationaute mission, Yeliseyev confirmed that the Soviets are not interested and are not discussing it with French officials. EXPLODED COSMONAUTS SEEN IN PUBLIC. During the Great Socialist Revolution celebration on November 7 (my birthday), was a televised two-way conversation between cosmonauts and Strekalov in Red Square and Lyakhov and Aleksandrov in The announcer made no comment on the significance of the identity of the two earthbound cosmonauts, and I do not as yet have the transcript of what was said. EDITORIAL: If the Salyut-7 is left unmanned it is a major setback for the Soviet cosmonaut program, possibly the biggest since the Salyut disasters of 1971-1972. If a new long-duration crew is launched early next year, a crew handover mission would not expected until summer -- a delay of almost a year.